

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,235	KIYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KENNETH DOUYETTE	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENNETH DOUYETTE.

(3) XIAOMIN HUANG #64,892.

(2) JONATHAN CREPEAU.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2010.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ Proposed Amendment

Claim(s) discussed: 1-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Komatsu, Saito

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- Structure of Komatsu in relation to the instant claims
 - location of Komatsu battery and air flow through it - distinct from prior art. (attorney's argument)
- two sensors located on same structure
- 112 rejection to be addressed by ~~adding~~ ^{clarifying claim w/ respect to specification} ~~adding spec info into claims~~
- Proposed method claim can be addressed by re-interpretation of examination.

Kenneth Douyet

Jonathan Crepeau 1795